



GLAST

SWG Activities Summary GUC Meeting June 2005



Summary of SWG Activities

- SWG continues to have ~bi-monthly telecons (see next slide)
 - Josh Grindlay is ex-officio on SWG, attends all meetings. SWG briefed on GUC activities.
- Next F2F meeting 2 September (at Stanford). Joint LAT-SWG minisymposium on Galactic Center Region on 1 September (see later slide), being organized by IDS Chuck Dermer.
- Topics addressed by SWG since last GUC meeting include:
 - configuration control of operations parameters affecting science (see later slides – GUC issue!)
 - burst trigger algorithms and parameters (Burst Working Group, BWG)
 - data latencies
 - year 1 LAT transient data release policy (awaiting GUC discussion)
 - BWG review of GBM calibration, trigger, and response functions
 - on-orbit observatory alignment calibration observations
- Note: no recent Science Requirements change requests



Recent SWG Telecon Agenda

GLAST SWG Telecon #21, 2 June 2005 Draft Agenda, V2 All times are Eastern U.S. time

Time	Duration	
11:00	00:05 Welcome, Agenda Review, Minutes, Announcements	S. Ritz
11:05	00:05 News from Swift	N. Gehrels
11:10	00:10 Mission report	K. Grady
11:20	00:20 IDS reports	Dermer, Dingus, Pohl, Thorsett
11:40	00:10 News from NASA and other agencies	R. Harnden et al
11:50	00:10 LAT team report, issues	P. Michelson
12:00	00:10 GBM team report, issues	C. Meegan, G. Lichti
12:10	00:10 E/PO report	L. Cominsky
12:20	00:10 GUC meeting agenda, plans	J. Grindlay
12:30	00:10 September 1 Galactic Center Region mini-symposium	C. Dermer
	program	
12:40	00:10 September 2 meeting agenda items	S. Ritz, all
12:50	00:10 AOB, action items	
13:00	ADJOURN Next meeting: 2 September @ Stanford	



Galactic Center Mini-symposium Program Outline

GLAST Mini-symposium on the Galactic Center Region Sept. 1, 2005 SLAC/Stanford

0900 0915 0945 1015	15 25+5 25+5 20+5			
1045		Break	being organized	
1115 1140 1205		Advection- and Outflow-Dominated Accretion INTEGRAL Obs. of the GC region HESS/IACT Obs. of the GC region	by Chuck Dermer	
1230-1400		Lunch		
1400 1430 1500 1520	25+5 25+5 15+5 15+5	EGRET, GLAST and the GC The GC: Observational and Theoretical Issues Jet Models for Sgr A* Black-Hole Plerion		
1540-1610		Break		
1610 1630 1650 1710 1740	15+5 25+5	+5 Dark Matter +5 Modeling Sgr A* +5 The GC Radio/High-Energy Environment +5 Gamma rays from Accreting Black Holes: from Sgr A* to High Redshift osing remarks, etc.		



The following four slides are from SWG discussion of Control of Ops Parameters Affecting Science



Ops Parameters and Configuration Control

- There are many operations parameters that affect science.
- Who is responsible and how are the parameters controlled?
- This involves all the mission elements:
 - instruments (I(S)OCs, instrument science teams)
 - project scientists, GSSC
 - users committee, swg
 - users committee will also discuss this topic at the spring meeting
 - Gls in their proposals, potentially
- Start discussion today to surface issues
 - no decisions or specific proposals today, but a framework for discussion
 - [more fun than discussing data rights]



Considerations

- Year 1 vs subsequent years
 - during year 1, instrument teams need flexibility to control and understand their instruments efficiently, yet the parameter selections will affect the first-year data set released to the public.
 - in subsequent years, changes should be less frequent.
- There are categories of parameters, with overlapping interests
 - onboard instrument parameters, e.g.,
 - zero suppression thresholds, hardware and software trigger thresholds, onboard science algorithm parameters
 - observatory parameters, e.g.,
 - · earth avoidance angles, sky survey parameters, repoint dwell time
 - ground processing parameters
- Some parameters must be broadly visible but are not generally under group control. Examples include
 - instrument SAA boundaries (instrument teams define)
 - data dump times (mission defines)



Responsibilities

- For discussion:
 - let the element (LAT, GBM, mission) with the primary expertise take responsibility for recommending and archiving the parameters. Mission is responsible to provide web-accessible list of (or pointers to) all the parameters, their definitions, and their values over time.
 - GUC and SWG advise on overall policy (which parameters are controlled, target ranges, process).
 - Science Operations Oversight Group (SOOG) meets ~weekly to
 - review weekly performance and Ops issues
 - approve changes on limited controlled parameters list; be informed about all the others
 - in many cases, particularly early in the mission, the controlled values will be managed in a range approved by the SOOG: the responsible element will have freedom to change the parameter value within that range without CCR action.
 - in year 1, SOOG consists of
 - Project Scientist or Deputy (chair)
 - Two instrument PIs or their delegates
 - GUC chair or his/her delegate
 - GSSC lead
 - MOC lead
 - 2 Instrument I(S)OC leads



Other issues/questions

- How (and how much) to connect data products with parameter values and configuration versions?
- Other issues?



• STATUS: after discussion with GUC, Steve will work with the instrument teams and GLAST project discipline engineers to compile a proposed parameter list.